



Meeting: Harbour Committee

Date: 17th December 2012

Wards Affected: All wards in Torbay

Report Title: Tor Bay Harbour Authority Budget and Harbour Charges 2013/14

Executive Lead Contact Details: Non-Executive Function

**Supporting Officer Contact Details: Kevin Mowat
Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority
Tor Bay Harbour Master**

 **Telephone: 01803 292429 (Ext 2724)**

 **E.mail: Kevin.Mowat@torbay.gov.uk**

Pete Truman

Principal Accountant

 **Telephone: Ext 7302**

 **E.mail: Pete.Truman@torbay.gov.uk**

1. Purpose

- 1.1 This report provides Members with the opportunity to consider the level of harbour charges to be levied by Tor Bay Harbour Authority, on behalf of the Council as the Harbour Authority, in the next financial year and to consider the Tor Bay Harbour Authority budget for 2013/14. This is being considered at this time to enable implementation and payment to be made in advance of the granting of facilities for the coming financial year.
- 1.2 The Committee is required to approve the level of harbour charges for Tor Bay Harbour for 2013/14, having considered the budgetary implications set out in this report.
- 1.3 The Committee is further asked to approve the 2013/14 budget for Tor Bay Harbour Authority.

2. Proposed Decision

- 2.1 **That, having had regard to the opinions expressed by the Harbour Liaison Forums, Members consider the recommendation from the Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party, to increase the harbour charges for 2013/14, by a representative average increase of 2.8% and approve the schedule of harbour charges set out in Appendix 1.**

- 2.2 That the Tor Bay Harbour Authority budget for 2013/14, based on a 2.8% representative average increase in harbour charges (as set out in Appendix 2, to this report) be approved.**
- 2.3 That during 2013/14 the Tor Bay Harbour Budget Working Party should continue to review the full range of harbour charges, monitor the revenue budget, and recommend a budget for 2014/15.**
- 2.4 That, as recommended by the Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party, the Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority should consider using the harbour reserve funds to make additional repayments against the financing charges of capital projects, provided that the minimum reserve fund level is maintained and such budget adjustments are approved by the Harbour Committee Chairman and reported to the Harbour Committee through the budget monitoring reports.**
- 2.5 That, as recommended by the Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party, each harbour reserve fund is split with 20% of budgeted turnover ring-fenced to meet any deficit in the revenue budget or winter storm damage and the balance ring-fenced to fund harbours related capital projects.**

3. Summary

- 3.1 The provisional Harbour Estimates for 2013/14, together with the Original Approved Estimate for 2012/13 and Projected Outturn for 2012/13 are attached at Appendix 2 and reflect the likely operating position for the two harbour accounts for next year assuming a representative increase of 2.8% in Harbour Charges and 3.8% for Torquay Town Dock facilities.
- 3.2 It will be noted from Appendix 2 that there is the likelihood of a £19,000 deficit on the Torquay/Paignton Harbour account and a deficit on the Brixham Harbour account of £15,000 for the 2013/14 financial year.
- 3.3 Appendix 3 provides a projection of future year's budgets and forecasts a deficit position with depleted reserves for both harbour accounts before any growth in the income base. It also shows the position of both accounts after applying the cumulative effects of potential increases in user charges but without any growth in marina rental income.
- 3.4 As an indication of how much revenue can be generated by an increase in harbour charges the following table shows how much additional annual income is derived from a 1% increase.

	1% increase in charges
Torquay and Paignton Harbours	£5k
Brixham Harbour	£3k

- 3.5 The Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party held meetings on the 13th August 2012, 1st October 2012 and 12th November 2012 and this report represents the findings and recommendations of that group. The Budget Working Party is recommending that Harbour Charges be increased, on average, by 2.8% as shown at Appendix 1.
- 3.6 A continued outcome of the Budget Working Party is the recommendation that harbour reserve funds could be used to make additional repayments against capital financing costs to reduce interest charges over the longer term, provided always that the minimum reserve fund levels are maintained.
- 3.7 Furthermore the Budget Working Party decided to continue to recommend to the Harbour Committee that each harbour reserve fund should be split into two with one part ring-fenced to meet any deficit in the revenue budget, or winter storm damage, and the other part set aside for harbour capital projects.
-

Supporting Information

4 Introduction and history

- 4.1 The Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party, which was formed on 14 September 2009, has continued to scrutinise the approved Tor Bay Harbour Authority budget for 2012/13 and they have made recommendations for a proposed budget for 2013/14. Membership of the Budget Working Party was agreed as Councillors Ellery, Hytche and Baldrey with External Advisors Ms Hayes and Mr Jennings, supported by relevant officers.
- 4.2 Torbay Council's general fund budget is facing a significant shortfall for 2013/14 and all council business units have been asked to make savings and/or look at income opportunities to help reduce the deficit. The Harbour Committee Budget Working have therefore agreed to recommend an increase in the level of contribution of the cash dividend to the general fund from the harbour accounts in 2013/14 equivalent to 6% of turnover.
- 4.3 The Chairman of the Harbour Committee and the Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority have continued to indicate that the delivery of a fully commissioned harbour authority service could reduce some of the existing support & fixed costs and that such cost reduction and efficiency gains, if they were achieved, would place the harbour authority in a better position to potentially continue paying a cash dividend in future years. i.e. beyond the current financial crisis. In 2007 Torbay Council decided to accept the main findings of the Municipal Ports Review and the principle of paying a dividend to the "owning authority" is clearly established within this review. However, the contribution for 2013/14 amounts to £140k and this has put considerable pressure on next year's Harbour's budget

4.4 The most recent meeting of the Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party was held on the 12th November 2012. In 2009 the Working Party established the following guidelines:

- that the Harbour Committee should establish a set of accounting principles;
- that the annual Budget Report should include details of planned capital spending;
- that details should be provided, in pie-chart format, showing the breakdown of internal support service charges;
- that the budget forecast details should show a column with the percentage variation between the previous year's original budget and the proposed budget;
- that the annual Budget Report should clearly indicate which budget lines are under pressure and more likely to be at risk to variation, complete with the reasons why.

4.5 Before charges are reviewed Provisional Estimates indicate that the balances of the Harbour Reserve Funds as at 31 March 2014 could be in the region of:

Account	Revenue Deficit Reserve (minimum level)	Projects Reserve	Total Reserve
Torquay and Paignton Harbours	£197,000	£366,000	£563,000
Brixham Harbour	£271,000	£239,000	£510,000

A list of proposed reserve-funded projects is regularly reported to the Harbour Committee through the budget monitoring process.

4.6 There is uncertainty over future levels of income and expenditure as outlined in paragraph 9.3 below and this will put significant pressure on the Harbour accounts over the coming years. It is therefore important that as well as keeping pace with rising costs, income levels from user charges, rent and other sources, are sufficient to mitigate these pressures and provide the ability to maintain the appropriate reserve levels.

4.7 The table below indicates the increase in charges in recent years, compared with the retail price index (RPI) taken at the April point of the previous year. In the last five years increases of 4.0 %, 2.5%, 5%, 5%, and 7% have been applied. The table further illustrates the balance of the combined reserves at 1 April of the previous charges year.

Charges Year	Overall Increase	Actual RPI	Combined Reserve Levels
2012/13	4.0%	3.5%	£1,169,408
2011/12	2.5%	5.3%	£958,671
2010/11	5%	-1.2%	£913,342
2009/10	5%	4.2%	£867,793
2008/09	7%	4.5%	£1,194,717

4.8 Capital Plan/Budget

The items identified in the table below are currently in the Council's Capital Plan/Budget relating to the Harbour Authority.

Capital Item	Project Year or Reserve List	Total Budget £000	Actual to Date (including prior years) £000	Projected Outturn £000
Environment Agency grant funding for Torquay Harbour – Haldon & Princess Piers	2011	1,300	248	1,300
Brixham Harbour – Various Repairs	2006 to 2008	640	647	649
Fish Market Roof – PV Panels	2012	48	0	48
Brixham Harbour - Breakwater Repairs	Reserve List Band 1	150	0	150
Torquay Harbour - Haldon Pier (Phase 2)	Reserve List Band 1	5,000	0	5,000
Torquay Harbour – Princess Pier	Reserve List Band 1	3,500	0	3,500
Torquay Harbour – Inner Harbour Pontooning	Reserve List Band 1	800 – 1,000	0	800 – 1,000
Torquay/Brixham Harbour – Passenger ferries pontoon facilities	Reserve List Band 1	600	0	600
Torquay Harbour – replacement crane	Reserve List Band 3	45	0	45

4.9 Harbour Accounts – Financial Principles

The Harbour Committee are asked to note the following recommended financial principles for the harbour accounts:

- both harbour accounts should be ring-fenced (assured);
- any operating surplus will pass to the relevant harbour reserve fund;
- operating deficits will be met from the relevant harbour reserve fund;
- the approved budget should not have a forecast surplus or deficit that exceeds £50,000;
- harbour reserve levels should aim to be at least the minimum target levels recommended by the Review of Reserves approved by Council each year;
- all budget lines are properly risk assessed prior to recommendation to the Harbour Committee;
- the Harbour Authority should seek to maximise external funding opportunities;
- the cost of borrowing should be monitored so that the harbour accounts are not over extended.

4.10 Significant variations to harbour charges

This report recommends that Harbour Charges be increased, on average, by 2.8%. However, certain charges have not increased and others are subject to a more significant variation. The key charging issues are set out below :-

- Slipway and visitor charges, up to 7 metres in length, have been increased by 50p (max 5.5%), these have been fixed for the past 2 years.
- Some charges have been rounded up or down (around 2.8%) for ease of collection.
- New charges have been introduced for canoe/kayak launching (£5.00) and a Paignton harbour mooring licence fee (£5.00).
- Cruise ship passenger landing fees have not been increased.
- Daily and weekly trailer parking charges have not been increased.
- Annual Jet Ski pod charges have been reduced and seasonal charges deleted.
- Fishing vessel alongside/pontoon charges at Torquay and Paignton are in the second year of a staged increase to the same level as private vessel charges. For Paignton fishing vessels this is a 3 year staged increase which will be completed by April 2014. Fishing vessels at Torquay need to close a larger gap and the staged increase will be over 5 years, completing in April 2016. The cost of providing the service is the same regardless of the end user.
- The 15 metre to 50 metre visitor charge has been deleted.

- A specific visitor charge has been introduced for catamarans.
 - Torquay harbour Town Dock pontoon charges have increased by 3.8%. These services continue to enjoy high demand.
 - An adjustment has been made to bunkering charges to deter smaller deliveries and address the potentially higher risk of such operations.
 - A new South Pier inner harbour pontoon charge has been introduced at a level midway between the existing inner harbour chain mooring charge (including harbour dues) and the Town Dock charge.
 - Passenger vessels berthed on the Town Dock in Torquay harbour have reached the second year of a 3 year staged increase to the same level (when combined with passenger vessel harbour dues) as Town Dock private vessel charges and this increase will be completed by April 2014. The cost of providing the facility is the same regardless of the end user.
 - New charges have been introduced for salt water extraction and the sale of tide tables.
- 4.11 In 2009 the Harbour Committee agreed that private vessel harbour dues at Paignton/Brixham should be increased to the same level as private vessel harbour dues at Torquay and that the increase should be staged between April 2010 and April 2012. However, in 2011 the Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party recommended that the staged increase should be halted and that officers should consult further with stakeholders at Brixham and Paignton harbours. This consultation should aim to determine and agree the differences between the three enclosed harbours, in respect of harbour dues, and identify a factor to be applied in future years. The Harbour Committee's Budget Working Party has asked officers to work and consult with harbour users to provide a clear proposal for Paignton/Brixham private vessel harbour dues, so that it is seen to be fair in comparison to the level set for private vessel harbour dues at Torquay. Any subsequent change could be implemented over a 10 year period.

5 Possibilities and Options

- 5.1 Increase Harbour Charges in 2013/14 by an average inflationary increase of 2.8% and increase the dividend to the General Fund to 6% of income as per recommendations in section 2 and as outlined in paragraph 4.2.
- 5.2 Make no change to the level of harbour charges and accept increased operational deficits for 2013/14 and future years in contradiction of the Harbour Accounts – Financial Principles (see Para 4.9).
- 5.3 Do not agree to the recommended cash dividend of 6% of income to the Council's general fund and therefore do not contribute to reducing the Council's overall budget deficit.

6 Preferred Solution/Option

6.1 See the recommendations in section 2.

7 Consultation

7.1 Consultation with the Brixham Harbour Liaison Forum and the Torquay/Paignton Harbour Liaison Forum commenced in September 2012 and continued in November 2012. The responses from both the Torquay/Paignton Harbour Liaison Forum and the Brixham Harbour Liaison Forum will be circulated prior to the meeting in the form of minutes of the meetings. The Torquay Harbour Users Association meeting accepted the idea of an average increase in harbour charges in line with RPI/CPI. The Paignton Harbour Users reached a similar view regarding an inflationary increase. A meeting has not been held with users at Brixham harbour.

7.2 The Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority has benchmarked with some other Harbour Authorities and the results are displayed in the table below :-

Harbour Authority	% Increase in Charges 2012/13	% Increase in Charges 2013/14
Truro & Penryn	4.98	2.9
Teignmouth	4.0	6.0
Torbay	4.0	2.8
Salcombe	2.0	2.0
Weymouth	2.5	3.0
Cattewater	3.0	2.0
Langstone	5.2	2.2
Chichester		5.0

8 Risks

8.1 The major risk associated with this report is not presenting a realistic budget resulting in major operational deficits to be funded from the Harbour Reserves. Depletion of these Reserves would eventually require support from the Council's Revenue Fund to meet the operational deficits. Accordingly the Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority has recommended a budget that will meet the operational requirements of the Harbour for the forthcoming year in line with realistic expectations for income.

8.2 There is a potential risk of customer resistance to increasing Harbour Charges resulting in a shortfall in targeted income. The Executive Head of Tor Bay Harbour Authority has evaluated this risk in line with demand levels for services and the need for harbour income to keep pace with costs. The level of risk is further mitigated by the consultation process with the Harbour User Associations and both of the Harbour Liaison Forums.

- 8.3 If the Council continues to request an increase in the level of the cash dividend payable to the General Fund there is a significant risk that the Harbour Authority will be unable to remain self funding. In that situation the Harbour Authority would require a precept from the General Fund and this scenario would be contrary to government best practice for the management of municipal ports.
- 8.4 Specific risks and budget line pressures are explained in the table below when read in conjunction with Appendix 2.

Key	Risk and/or pressure to budget line
A	A reduction in outturn costs is possible due to the waiving of superannuation contributions by some employees but any saving cannot be relied upon until year end because employees are entitled to join the scheme at any stage.
B	The Repair and Maintenance budget for Torquay/Paignton shows negligible growth and although the Brixham repairs and maintenance budget has been reduced back to base level but remains prone to overspend.
C	Internal support services costs should at least be held at 2012/13 levels, or reduced.
D	Capital finance charges may increase if further borrowing is required to fund repairs to critical infrastructure i.e. Haldon/Princess Piers. Financing costs are recharged to the Harbour Account on the basis of Torbay Council's low average borrowing rate (currently 4.31%) and flexibility exists for the Harbour Account to make additional repayments without penalty.
E	An increase in the cash dividend to the General Fund is being recommended, from 2.5% of total income to 6% of total income (see Para 4.2).
G	Marina rental income is unpredictable due to the uncertain economic climate. No change has been assumed from the 2011/12 outturn until new operating figures are received.
H	Income from harbour dues and mooring fees is difficult to forecast as occupancy levels could drop due to the uncertain economic climate and increased charges.
J	Brixham energy costs are currently difficult to predict due to the uncertain level of MFV refit work and an unproven recharging system. Similarly Brixham water costs are difficult to forecast due to the introduction of sewerage charges and an unproven recharging system.
K	New rental streams generated by the redeveloped Fish Quay building are reflected in the 2013/14 figure but the figure does not anticipate full occupancy.
L	Visitor income fell in 2012 and remains unpredictable due to the uncertain economic climate.
M	There is always uncertainty over the future levels of fish toll income because of the Common Fisheries Policy, quota allowances, etc.
N	This assumes that additional income will be generated by recharging electricity and water to fishing vessels/tenants.
Q	Interest rates are forecast to remain low.

Appendices

- Appendix 1 Schedule of Tor Bay Harbour Charges 2013/14 showing a representative average increase of 2.8%.
- Appendix 2 Provisional Harbour Estimates for 2013/14 & Original Estimates for 2012/13 with an average 2.8% increase in Harbour Charges.
- Appendix 3 Projected Harbour Estimates for Future Years to 2016/17
- Appendix 4 Torquay & Paignton Harbour Account - Estimated Internal Support Service Charges 2013/14
- Appendix 5 Brixham Harbour Account - Estimated Internal Support Service Charges 2013/14

Additional Information

The following documents/files were used to compile this report :-

Schedule of Tor Bay Harbour Charges 2012/13

Tor Bay Harbour Act 1970

Tor Bay Harbour (Torquay Marina Act &c.) Act 1983

Minutes of Torquay & Paignton Liaison Forum – November 2012

Minutes of Brixham Harbour Liaison Forum – November 2012